

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

17.

MA 1414/2024 in OA 1480/2018

Maj Amar Singh Chandel (Retd)	Applicant
Versus		
Union of India & Ors.	Respondents

For Applicant	:	Mr. SS Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Avdhesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT. GEN. C.P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
07.11.2025

MA 1414/2024

Seeking execution of an order passed on 01.09.2023 in
OA 1480/2018 this application has been filed.

2. The said OA was disposed of vide a detailed order passed on 01.09.2023 and after taking note of the grievances of the applicant in the OA and various other aspects of the matter it was directed that the respondents should treat the present OA as a representation made by the appellant seeking grant of War Injury Pension and were further directed to dispose it of within a period of three months.

3. When the representation was not disposed of, this application has been filed and on 04.09.2025, we granted 45 days' time from the said date to the respondents to dispose of

the representation and the matter was listed for today. Today when the matter is taken up respondents have produced before us a detailed order passed on 6th October, 2025 whereby vide a speaking order the representation of the applicant has been considered and decided and the claim of the applicant for grant of war injury pension has been rejected.

4. Even though learned counsel for the applicant, after taking us through certain Paras of the order passed on 01.09.2023 in the OA and the order passed by us on 04.09.2025 in MA, tried to indicate that *Prima facie* there is a direction to give War Injury Pension benefit to the applicant but having gone through the orders in detail we are of the considered view that based on the submissions made before us there were only observations to treat the OA as representation and decide the same. There were no such directions issued to grant war injury pension to the applicant. The only direction was to decide the representation within a reasonable period of time.

5. The representation having been decided by the respondents, we see no reason to make any further indulgence in the matter. The execution proceedings stands disposed of with liberty granted to the applicant to re-agitate

the matter and challenge the speaking order passed in case he has any grievance still subsisting.

6. MA stands disposed of.


[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON


[LT. GEN. C.P. MOHANTY]
MEMBER (A)

/Priya/